RECEIVED
JUL 29 2016
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA 16-MJ-510 HB

In the Matter of the Complaint and Arrest of
ALICE SPENCER WARREN,
a/k/a "SUMALEE INTARATHONG,"
a/k/a "ALICE INTARATHONG,"
a/k/a "SUMALEE NOIBUMRUNG,"
a/k/a "ALISA SPENCER WARREN,"
a/k/a "EMILY SPENCER WARREN,"
a/k/a "EMILY ALICE SPENCER WARREN,"
a/k/a "P'DEW,"
a/k/a "MS. TEW,"
a/k/a "P'M,"
a/k/a "JOY,"
a/k/a "M,"

FILED UNDER SEAL
PETITION OF THE UNITED STATES FOR AN ORDER SEALING COMPLAINT, ARREST WARRANT, AFFIDAVIT, RETURN, PETITION AND ORDER FOR SEALING

COMES NOW the United States of America by its undersigned attorneys and in support of its Petition for an Order Sealing Complaint, Arrest Warrant, Affidavit, Return, and Petition in the above-captioned matter, states as follows:

1. On July 29, 2016, The Honorable Hildy Bowbeer issued a Warrant authorizing the arrest of Alice Spencer Warren.

2. The Affidavit of Special Agent Tonya M. Price, submitted in support of the Arrest Warrant, sets forth facts regarding Sex Trafficking; Transportation To Engage in Prostitution; Visa Fraud; Forced Labor; and Money Laundering, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1546, 1589, 1591, 1594, 1956, 2421.

3. The arrest warrant documents presented to this Court for *in camera* review include detailed and highly sensitive investigative information regarding an ongoing international conspiracy to engage in human trafficking and money laundering. Disclosure of the information would jeopardize an ongoing investigation into alleged criminal

SCANNED
JUL 29 2016
U.S. DISTRICT COURT ST. PAUL

offenses. This organization, in particular, is sensitive to this law enforcement investigation. Law enforcement believes that W-4 alerted the organization to law enforcement's investigation, prompting the organization to relocate its Minnesota-based operation to Atlanta in August 2015. Disclosure would also jeopardize the safety and wellbeing of one or more individuals and the privacy of individuals unlikely to be, and/or who may ultimately not be, indicted.

4. Nondisclosure of the arrest warrant documents is necessary to prevent the ongoing investigation from being compromised. Immediate public filing of the arrest warrant documents would, *inter alia*, compromise details about the nature, extent, and scope of the investigation. Further, Alice Spencer Warren is believed to be in Belgium, and the actual arrest of Ms. Warren will involve the coordination of multiple law enforcement entities.

5. The Court's power to prevent disclosure of its files, especially for a limited period of time, is well established. This general power has been recognized by the United States Supreme Court.

> It is uncontested, however, that the right to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute. Every court has supervisory power over some records and files and access has been denied where court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes.

Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978). The Eighth Circuit has recognized the Court's specific power to restrict access to warrant documents like those at issue here:

> We hold that the qualified first amendment right of public access extends to the documents filed in support of search warrants and that the documents

> may be sealed if the district court specifically finds that sealing is necessary to protect a compelling government interest and that less restrictive alternatives are impracticable.

In re Search Warrant for Secretarial Area Outside Office of Gunn, 855 F.2d 569, 574 (8th Cir. 1988).

6. The Eighth Circuit and district courts within the Circuit have recognized that the circumstances surrounding ongoing investigations constitute compelling government interests warranting the sealing of warrant documents. For example, the Eighth Circuit has approved sealing warrant documents that "describe[d] in detail the nature, scope and direction of the government's investigation and the individuals and specific projects involved," resulting in "substantial probability that the government's on-going investigation would be severely compromised if the sealed documents were released." *Id.* at 574. Moreover, the Eighth Circuit has recognized that warrant affidavits permeated with references to individuals other than the subjects of the warrant and/or with information revealing the nature, scope and direction of the government's ongoing investigation may be sealed not only because they present compelling government interests justifying sealing, but also because less restrictive alternatives to sealing are in such circumstances impracticable. *Gunn*, 855 F.2d at 574.

7. Based upon the foregoing and all the files and proceedings to date, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order Sealing the Warrant, Application, Affidavit of Special Agent Tonya M. Price, Return, this Petition, and the Sealing Order until the close of business on January 25, 2017, unless a compelling interest is shown by the United States for a continuation of the sealing.

8. The United States respectfully requests that the Court grant the order to seal the attached warrant and the affidavit of Tonya M. Price, except that the United States may disclose the attached warrant and warrant materials to other law enforcement entities, including those outside the United States, for the purpose of executing the arrest warrant.

Dated: July 29, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW M. LUGER
United States Attorney

BY: LAURA M. PROVINZINO
Assistant United States Attorney
Registration No. 0329691